





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/988,479	12/10/1997	MICHAEL E. FEIN	009103-01400	7564	
20350	7590 05/20/2003				
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			ZAHN, JEFFREY N		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	19	Application No		Applicant(s)	
	•	08/988,479 Examiner		FEIN, MICHAEL E. Art Unit	
	Office Action Summary				
	•	Jeffrey N Zahn		2828	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		r sheet with the c		
Period fo				•	
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.16 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display the mailing display. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mi will apply and will expire e, cause the application	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	 nis action is non-f	inal.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow			osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-26, 32-47 and 51-55</u> is/are pendin	g in the application	on.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-47</u> is/are withdraw	wn from consider	ation.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			Q	
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-26 and 51-55</u> is/are rejected.			and	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		PAUL IP	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require		VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	
	on Papers		IEU	HNOLOGY CENTER 2800	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)∐ 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce		_		
44)□ =	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	` ,	
11)[] [The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.	
42 \ □ 1	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	ction.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule	17. <u>2(a)</u>).	· ·	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		•		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest				
Attachment	(s)				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper No. 22	

Application/Control Number: 08/988,479

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 – 26 and 51– 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 55 and all claims that depend therefrom, the limitations "a non-imaging optical waveguide" and "said waveguide adapted to efficiently direct all the light" are unclear and vague; it is undeterminable what structural limitations are included in the claimed invention. It is suggested that the Applicant include a "means for" clause; thereby incorporating the embodiments disclosed in the specification or adding structure or adding structure to the claims to clarify. If a "means for" clause is used, Applicant is reminded that the specification needs to clearly point out the structure incorporated into the claims via the "means for" clause.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 08/988,479

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 2–26 and 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jannson et al US4898450. Fig 11 shows an apparatus for efficiently deflecting light from an optical fiber around a corner comprising:

a non-imaging optical waveguide, (Fig 11) said waveguide being bound by and having a first port (57) and a second port (58), said non-imaging waveguide (53) adapted to efficiently direct all the light entering through said first port around said bend;

said first port receiving light having divergence angles of less than 90 degrees as measured relative to central axis of said optical fiber, said central axis of said optical fiber being perpendicular to said first port; (Fig. 1; see also col. 3, line 14, - col. 4, line 56)

said second port emitting light having angles as large a 90 degrees relative to the central axis of said port, said central axis of said second port being perpendicular to said second port. (Fig. 1; see also col. 3, line 14, - col. 4, line 56)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06 February 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Jannson reference does not teach "non-imaging devices for bending from fiber optic cables around a bend in an efficient and compact manner." This argument is unpersuasive because the argument is not based on the "claimed invention." As discussed above, the indefiniteness of the terms "a non-imaging"

Application/Control Number: 08/988,479

Art Unit: 2828

optical waveguide" and "said waveguide adapted to efficiently direct all the light" render undeterminable what structural limitations are included in the claimed invention. In addition, the claims are given there broadest reasonable interpretation which results in the Applicant's claims reading on the Jannson reference. If the Applicant adds structural limitations to more specifically describe the invention as disclosed in the specification, the Jannson reference will not apply.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey N Zahn whose telephone number is 703-305-3443. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on 703-308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jeffrey Zahn May 19, 2003 PAUL IP Sylffenisory patent examiner Technology center 2800